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### 3.1 Expectation Maximization (EM) algorithm

We assume a two stage process for generating point $x_{i}$. First, given the unknown parameters $\theta_{1}$ we generate $c_{i}$ ( $c_{i}$ is unobserved). Given $c_{i}$ and theunknown parameters $\theta_{2}$ we generate the observation $x_{i}$.

$$
\xrightarrow{\theta_{1}} c_{i} \xrightarrow{\theta_{2}} x_{i}
$$

Therefore, the model consists of two parametrised distributions:
$\operatorname{Pr}\left[c ; \theta_{1}\right]$ the distribution of $c$ when its parameter is $\theta_{1}$.
$\operatorname{Pr}\left[x \mid c ; \theta_{2}\right]$ the conditional distribution of the observation $x$ given the hidden $c$, for a parameter $\theta_{2}$. Our goal is to recover the parameters $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ based on the observations $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$.

Consider the log-likelihood:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell\left(\theta \mid\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right) & =\log \operatorname{Pr}\left[\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \mid \theta\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{i} \mid \theta\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(\sum_{c} \operatorname{Pr}\left[c_{i}=c ; \theta_{1}\right] \operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{i} \mid c_{i}=c ; \theta_{2}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Maximizing $\ell\left(\theta \mid\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)$ above is difficult in general due to the sum within the $\log$ (note however that the form of the probabilities within the log is exactly given by our model). Therefore, we do the following:

For a given $x_{i}$ we will define the probability of the hidden result being $c_{i}=j$ (we assume that the hidden result is one of $K$ possible results).

$$
a_{i, j}^{t}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[c_{i}=j \mid x_{i} ; \theta^{t}\right]
$$

The $t$ indicates that $a_{i, j}^{t}$ is computed at iteration $t$, based on the parameter values $\theta^{t}$ that were already computed at the end of iteration $t-1$.

Recall that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[x \mid y]=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}[x, y]}{\operatorname{Pr}[y]}=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}[y \mid x] \operatorname{Pr}[x]}{\sum_{x} \operatorname{Pr}[x, y]}
$$

Therefore,

$$
a_{i, j}^{t}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[c_{i}=j \mid x_{i} ; \theta^{t}\right]=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{i} \mid c_{i}=j ; \theta_{2}^{t}\right] \operatorname{Pr}\left[c_{i}=j ; \theta_{1}^{t}\right]}{\sum_{c} \operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{i} \mid c_{i}=c ; \theta_{2}^{t}\right] \operatorname{Pr}\left[c_{i}=c ; \theta_{1}^{t}\right]}
$$

Note again that all forms of the above probabilities are exactly given by our model, and assuming the parameters $\theta^{t}$ were computed in the previous iteration the algorithm can directly plug the computed parameters $\theta^{t}$ above and compute $a_{i, j}^{t}$. Also note that $\sum_{j} a_{i, j}^{t}=1$.

The EM algorithm alternates between an $E$-step and an $M$-step. In the $E$-step, we define a function $Q\left(\theta \mid \theta^{t}\right)$ as the average likelyhood (over the probabilities $a_{i, j}^{t}$ of the unobserved outcomes) of our observations $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ :

$$
\text { E-step : } \begin{aligned}
Q\left(\theta \mid \theta^{t}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i, j}^{t} \log \operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{i}, c_{i}=j ; \theta\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i, j}^{t}\left(\log \operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{i} \mid c_{i}=j ; \theta_{2}\right]+\log \operatorname{Pr}\left[c_{i}=j ; \theta_{1}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the influence of $\theta^{t}$ in $Q$ is through the coefficients $a_{i, j}^{t}$. Also note that $Q$ above is a function of the model parameters $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ (since the coefficients $a_{i, j}^{t}$ are previously computed constants). Terefore, in the M-Step of the EM algorithm we find the parameters $\theta$ that maximize $Q$.

$$
\text { M-step : } \quad \theta^{t+1}=\arg \max _{\theta} Q\left(\theta \mid \theta^{t}\right)
$$

This time the maximization may be easy due to the log applied to each of the model probabilities, as illustrated in the following sections.

### 3.2 Example 1: Three coins

In the first step we flip a coin with bias $\lambda$ which returns either 1 or 2. More precisely, $\operatorname{Pr}\left[c_{i}=1\right]=\lambda$ and $\operatorname{Pr}\left[c_{i}=2\right]=1-\lambda$. If $c_{i}=1$ then we flip a coin with bias $p_{1}$ to set $x_{i}$ and If $c_{i}=2$ then we flip a coin with bias $p_{2}$ to set $x_{i}$. The flow of information:

$$
\xrightarrow{\lambda} c_{i} \xrightarrow[\{1,2\}]{p_{1}, p_{2}} x_{i}
$$

The model has:
(1) $\operatorname{Pr}\left[c_{i}=1\right]=\lambda$, (2) $\operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{i}=1 \mid c_{i}=1\right]=p_{1}$, and (3) $\operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{i}=1 \mid c_{i}=2\right]=p_{2}$.

We observe the sequence $x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, for example $x=(0,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0)$ for $n=13$. We would like to run $E M$ to recover the missing parameters $\theta=\left\{\lambda, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$.

For the $E$-Step at iteration $t$, assume we have the model parameters $\theta^{t}=\left\{\lambda^{t}, p_{1}^{t}, p_{2}^{t}\right\}$ and compute $a_{i, j}^{t}$ (we only need $a_{i, 1}^{t}$ since $a_{i, 2}^{t}=1-a_{i, 1}^{t}$ ):

$$
a_{i, 1}^{t}=\underbrace{\frac{\lambda^{t}\left(p_{1}^{t}\right)_{i}^{x}\left(1-p_{1}^{t}\right)^{1-x_{i}}}{\lambda^{t}\left(p_{1}^{t}\right)_{i}^{x}\left(1-p_{1}^{t}\right)^{1-x_{i}}}+\underbrace{\left(1-\lambda^{t}\right)\left(p_{2}^{t}\right)_{i}^{x}\left(1-p_{2}^{t}\right)^{1-x_{i}}}_{c_{i}=2}}_{c_{i}=1}
$$

Now, the resulting form of $Q\left(\theta \mid \theta^{t}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(\theta \mid \theta^{t}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, 1}^{t}\left(\log \lambda+x_{i} \log p_{1}+\left(1-x_{i}\right) \log \left(1-p_{1}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(1-a_{i, 1}^{t}\right)\left(\log (1-\lambda)+x_{i} \log p_{2}+\left(1-x_{i}\right) \log \left(1-p_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, 1}^{t} \log \lambda+\left(1-a_{i, 1}^{t}\right) \log (1-\lambda)\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, 1}^{t}\left[x_{i} \log p_{1}+\left(1-x_{i}\right) \log \left(1-p_{1}\right)\right]\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-a_{i, 1}^{t}\right)\left[x_{i} \log p_{2}+\left(1-x_{i}\right) \log \left(1-p_{2}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the $M$-step we are maximizing $Q$ :

$$
\theta^{t+1}=\left(\lambda^{t+1}, p_{1}^{t+1}, p_{2}^{t+1}\right)=\arg \max _{\theta=\left(\lambda, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)} Q\left(\theta \mid \theta^{t}\right)
$$

Fortunately, this breaks up to three optimization problems

$$
\lambda^{t+1}=\arg \max _{\lambda}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, 1}^{t}\right) \log \lambda+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-a_{i, 1}^{t}\right)\right) \log (1-\lambda)=F(\lambda)
$$

We compute

$$
F^{\prime}(\lambda)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, 1}^{t}}{\lambda}-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-a_{i, 1}^{t}\right)}{1-\lambda}=0
$$

and we get

$$
\lambda^{t+1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, 1}^{t}}{n}
$$

We need to verify that this is the maximum, by checking the second derivative

$$
F^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, 1}^{t}}{\lambda^{2}}-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-a_{i, 1}^{t}\right)}{(1-\lambda)^{2}}<0
$$

Similarly we maximize $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ and get

$$
p_{1}^{t+1}=\arg \max _{p_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, 1}^{t}\left[x_{i} \log p_{1}+\left(1-x_{i}\right) \log \left(1-p_{1}\right)\right]=F_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)
$$

and get

$$
p_{1}^{t+1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, 1}^{t} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, 1}^{t}}
$$

and similarly,

$$
p_{2}^{t+1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-a_{i, 1}^{t}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-a_{i, 1}^{t}\right)}
$$

### 3.3 Example 2: Mixture of Gaussians

In this setting we have a distribution $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$ over $k$ multivariate Gaussians of $d$ dimensions. Namely, the probability of a sample to originate from the $j^{\text {th }}$ Gaussian is $\operatorname{Pr}\left[c_{i}=j\right]=p_{j}$. The points in the $j$ th MVN are generated using $M V N\left(\mu_{j}, \epsilon I\right)$, where $\mu_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $I$ is the identity $d \times d$ matrix. Therefore, the density function of the observation $x_{i}$ given that it originates from the $j^{\text {th }}$ Gaussian is:

$$
f_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2 \pi \epsilon})^{d}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \epsilon^{2}}\left\|x_{i}-\mu_{j}\right\|^{2}}
$$

Therefore, our model is $\theta=\left(\left\{p_{j}\right\},\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}\right)$.
We set the $a_{i, j}^{t}$ as follows

$$
a_{i, j}^{t}=\frac{p_{j}^{t} f_{j}^{t}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{r=1}^{k} p_{r}^{t} f_{r}^{t}\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

Note that the values of the parameters $\left\{\mu_{j}^{t}\right\}$ (which are given at the $E$-Step, as computed by the $M$-Step of the preceeding iteration) appear in $f_{j}^{t}\left(x_{i}\right)$ - this is actually the meaning of the notation $t$ in $f_{j}^{t}\left(x_{i}\right)$.

In the $E$-step we therefore have

$$
Q\left(\theta \mid \theta^{t}\right)=Q\left(\left(\left\{p_{j}\right\},\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}\right) \mid \theta^{t}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i, j}^{t}\left(\log p_{j}+\text { const }-\frac{1}{2 \epsilon^{2}}\left\|x_{i}-\mu_{j}\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

In the $M$-step we can separately maximize $p^{t+1}$ and $\mu^{t+1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{t+1} & =\arg \max _{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i, j}^{t} \log p_{j} \\
& =\arg \max _{p} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, j}^{t}\right) \log p_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that we have the constraint that $\sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{j}=1$. As we saw a few times, the maximizer is,

$$
p_{j}^{t+1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, j}^{t}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, j}^{t}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, j}^{t}}{n}
$$

For the values of $\mu^{t+1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{t+1} & =\arg \max _{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k}-\frac{1}{2 \epsilon^{2}}\left\|x_{i}-\mu_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\arg \min _{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|x_{i}-\mu_{j}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As we saw in the $k$-means, the minimizer is,

$$
\mu_{j}^{t+1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, j}^{t} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, j}^{t}}
$$

In the next recitation we will review the connection of this setting to the $K$-means algorithm and the related interpretation of the $\epsilon I$ covariance matrix.

